



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/550,420	04/17/2000	Karen L. Harrison	BLD9-2000-0004US	1529
7590 07/01/2004		EXAMINER		
DAVID W LYNCH			SHAH, NILESH R	
CRAWFORD MAUNU PLLC 1270 NORTHLAND DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 390 MENDOTA HEIGHTS, MN 55120			2127	-
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/550,420	HARRISON ET AL.	\mathcal{K}
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nilesh R Shah	2127	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		i I	000
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPRINGED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPRINGED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPRINGED FOR THE PROPERTY OF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FO void abandonment of this applicable in a timely filed amendment white all (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	R ALLOWANCE. cation. A proper replication places the application	ly to a
l —	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Se	ee MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the	e fee. The appropriate extent of the final Office action: or (nsion fee under
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🔲 they raise new issues that would require furthe		(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	• •		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ıs.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	r reconsideration has been cons e Continuation Sheet	sidered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)∏ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo)⊠ will be entered a ow or appended.	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: None			
Claim(s) objected to: None.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-37</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied on is a)	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:	K	MU S., MENG-ALT, AN	
BEST AVA	ILABLE COPY TECHNO	DRY PATENT EXAMINE PLOGY CENTER 2100	ir.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant states Debes fails to teach assigning priority values to data channels. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Debes teaches that all incoming faxes are to be printed immediately (col. 12 lines 16-18). Faxes are on a different data channel then copy printing or walk up jobs. Thus clearly there are different data channels within Debes system and they are assigned different priority levels. Debes teaches that the job is printed based on the priority level and when it was received, in this case Debes used FIFO (col. 11 lines 22-25, col. 12 lines 17-30). Debes teaches that a resource can have different priority levels (col. 16 lines 35-40). Clearly different resources have different data channels.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY